Kerenza is recognised as a leading junior in employment law, professional discipline and sports law.

She is regularly instructed as sole counsel on complex and high value matters usually reserved for silks. She frequently appears in multi-day hearings in the Employment Tribunal and before professional discipline panels, including sport and anti-doping tribunals. This has given her extensive experience cross-examining witnesses and making submissions on complicated points of law.

Kerenza is recognized by both of the independent legal directories, Legal 500 and Chambers UK. Recent comments include:

- "Insightful, analytical, commercial, and a highly effective advocate – measured but extremely powerful when required. She picks up esoteric points with ease." - Legal 500, 2024
- "She is great with clients and judges, wielding the law like a scalpel." - Chambers & Partners, 2024

Previous comments include:

- "She is a go-to junior for complex discrimination claims - she just ‘gets’ it." - Chambers UK, 2023
- "Kerenza is everything you would want in a barrister." - Chambers UK, 2023
- "Kerenza is insightful, thorough, practical, responsive and very clear in her advice, as well as being a pleasure to work with." - Legal 500, 2023

Kerenza has wide-ranging experience in employee competition law, acting for both employers and employees on restrictive covenants, garden leave, misuse of confidential information and team moves cases. She has successfully represented clients both seeking and resisting urgent injunctive relief and has been instructed as both junior and sole counsel in speedy trials. Recent client feedback states "she is very easy to get on with, personable, down-to-earth, intelligent, capable, insightful, pragmatic".
Kerenza has acted for individuals and regulators in professional disciplinary processes in a wide-range of fields including the law, education, engineering and accountancy. She has also acted for numerous high profile clubs and individuals from the sports world, including Premiership football clubs and Olympic athletes in defending and prosecuting claims in courts and tribunal, as well as in mediations and arbitrations.

EXPERIENCE

Employment

Kerenza is recognised as a leading junior for employment law in both independent legal directories.

Kerenza routinely works on cases involving unfair dismissal, wrongful dismissal, redundancy, whistleblowing, TUPE, holiday pay, national minimum wage and the Working Time Regulations. She also has extensive experience acting for both employers and employees in employee competition, employee status and discrimination cases (see separate sections below).

Kerenza is regularly instructed to act as sole counsel in lengthy Employment Tribunal hearings usually reserved for QCs. For example, she has recently acted as sole counsel in:

- A complex race and sex discrimination claim, which was reported in Times, the Guardian and the Telegraph and received coverage on the ITV news.
- An age discrimination claim in which the Claimant was claiming £820,000.
- A 10 day maternity discrimination and whistleblowing claim.

Kerenza is currently instructed as junior counsel for Uber in the follow-on litigation arising out of the Supreme Court’s decision in Uber BV v Aslam [2021] UKSC 5, which is listed for a four-week trial in June 2022.

She also recently acted as junior counsel (to Jane Mulcahy QC), representing five Respondents in a substantial piece of litigation including claims of whistleblowing, sex and race discrimination, victimisation, harassment and unfair dismissal, which settled during the first week of a four week trial.

Kerenza has particular experience of the technology sector (clients have included HP Enterprise Services, Virgin and IBM) and the education sector (clients have included primary and secondary school teachers, primary, secondary and Higher Education institutions).
Cases

**Former in-house counsel whistleblowing claim**
Acting for 5 Respondents including a multi-national food company in a 4 week trial, defending claims of whistleblowing detriment/dismissal, direct sex and race discrimination, victimisation, harassment and unfair dismissal (as junior counsel to Jane Mulcahy QC). This was a substantial piece of litigation (the hearing bundle ran to 62 lever arch files) in which the Claimant relied on 30 alleged protected disclosures and 11 alleged protected acts. The case also raised the issue of the legal privilege exception in whistleblowing cases. Also acted for the Respondents in the related equal pay proceedings.

**Tesfagiorgis v Aspinals Club & Ors**
Acting for the Respondents in a 14 day hearing to determine the Claimant's claims of direct and indirect race discrimination, victimisation and harassment. 25 out of 26 of the Claimant’s claims were dismissed, with one claim of direct discrimination succeeding on the basis that a reasonable steps defence was not made out. During the hearing Kerenza cross-examined 6 witnesses and successfully dealt with several interlocutory issues, including getting some of the Claimant’s evidence excluded on the grounds of relevance and dealing with a specific disclosure application. The full judgement is available here.

**Kahn v VisitDenmark**
Successfully defending claims of age discrimination and unfair dismissal at a 5 day hearing, including cross-examining four witnesses. The Claimant claimed £820,000 after being dismissed for engaging a company owned and operated by his son, without permission from his superiors. The Tribunal was persuaded to discount the Claimant’s explanations as the answers elicited during cross-examination demonstrated a lack of credibility. The full judgement is available here.

**Maternity Discrimination/Whistleblowing**
Acting for the Respondent in a 10 day hearing to defend the Claimant’s claims of maternity discrimination, whistleblowing and constructive unfair dismissal, after the Claimant walked out the office on her second day back at work. The case raised interesting questions of what constitutes a disclosure to the employer as several of the Claimant’s alleged protected disclosures were purportedly made to the father of her child, who had previously held a senior role at the Respondent.

**Anonymity order**
Advising an international sports player in relation to proceedings against their club, in which they had been named in witness statements, including in relation to the prospects of obtaining an anonymity order to protect their identity.

**Devis v IBM**
Acting for the Respondent to defend the Claimant’s claim of unfair dismissal at a 4 day hearing (having successfully resisted his application to add a claim of age discrimination). The dismissal was held to be procedurally unfair but compensation was reduced by 70%. The full judgment can be read here.
### Holiday pay while furloughed
Acting for the Respondent company to deal with a series of linked claims for holiday pay for employees who had been on furlough and claimed they had not been given appropriate notice under the Working Time Regulations that they were required to take holiday during their time away from the workplace.

### Protective Award Claims
Acting for the administrators in relation to the claims for protective awards from former employees following the high-profile collapse of their employer company.

### Wade v Wargrave CE Primary School & Ors
Successfully acting for the Claimant in a 7 day hearing bringing claims for unfair and wrongful dismissal, following an investigation into maladministration in the Key Stage 2 SATs at the school where she worked. Click here to read the full judgment.

### Working hours at an Investment bank
Acting for the Respondent company to defend claims of breach of the Working Time Regulations and disability discrimination arising out of the working hours and culture at the bank.

### Equal pay/Sex Discrimination
Acting as sole counsel for the Respondent in a 15 day hearing in the Employment Tribunal to defend claims for equal pay, direct discrimination and victimisation. The Claimant relied on 15 comparators and claimed both like work and work of equal value.

### Food delivery app
Acting for the Respondent, which operates an app facilitating the delivery of food, securing strike out of the Claimant’s claims for unfair and wrongful dismissal. Judgment available here.

### Patel v IBM UK Ltd
Successfully acting for the Respondent to defend the Claimant’s claim of unfair dismissal following a redundancy process. The Claimant’s claims were dismissed following a two day hearing. Click here to read the full judgment.

### Taylor v Alzheimer's Society
Successfully representing a large charity at a two-day hearing in relation to a claim for unfair dismissal, raising questions of redundancy and suitable alternative employment.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strickland v Kier Group PLC &amp; Ors</strong></td>
<td>Acting for the Respondent companies to defend the Claimant’s claims, which fell to be determined in the Employment Tribunals under the law of Dubai, requiring the provision of expert evidence on the applicable law.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dishonesty during disciplinary investigation</strong></td>
<td>Acting for the Respondent bank defending a claim of unfair dismissal. The Claimant had originally received a written warning for participating in inappropriate behaviour on a charity tour. During the internal appeal, additional evidence came to light, which led to the Claimant being dismissed for dishonesty.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Logo transfer</strong></td>
<td>Successfully defending a proposed claim based on TUPE following the termination of a planned joint fashion venture, where the intellectual property in the branding was retained for possible future use but the intended collaboration was dissolved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Theft by Security Guard</strong></td>
<td>Acting for the Respondent, the Trustees of a national museum, to defend a claim for unfair dismissal following their dismissal of a security guard they had found guilty of stealing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blaney v BBC &amp; Ors</strong></td>
<td>Acting for the Claimant in his claims for disability discrimination, failure to make reasonable adjustments and unfair constructive dismissal, including representing him at a day long judicial mediation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Walker v The Sanctuary Group &amp; Ors</strong></td>
<td>Acting for a large charity defending a claim concerning redundancy and TUPE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Work-related stress</strong></td>
<td>Advising an individual suffering from severe work-related stress in her job at an international film production company on tactics for negotiating a compromise agreement with her employer, including advising on potential claims under TUPE, for wrongful/unfair constructive dismissal and for negligence causing personal injury.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disability Discrimination &amp; Unfair Dismissal</strong></td>
<td>Advising the Claimant on her prospects of successfully appealing the decision in her disability discrimination and unfair dismissal claim to the Employment Appeal Tribunal.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Working Time Regulations
Advising the respondent in relation to a claim for unfair dismissal and unpaid holiday pay under the Working Time Regulations.

Bullying & Whistleblowing
Carrying out an investigation into a workplace grievance, raising questions of bullying and whistleblowing (as junior counsel to Gemma White).

Employee Competition
Kerenza has wide-ranging experience in employee competition law, advising both employers and employees on restrictive covenants, garden leave, misuse of confidential information and team moves.

She has successfully acted for employers and employees in obtaining and resisting urgent injunctive relief and has acted as sole and junior counsel in speedy trials, as well as in employment disputes in the High Court more generally involving employee poaching, garden leave and restrictive covenants.

Kerenza has acted for clients in such diverse areas as private security services, biotech research, recruitment consultants and property developers, as well as for clients in more traditional employee competition battlegrounds such as insurance broking and derivative trading.

Kerenza regularly contributes to chambers' employee competition bulletin and speaks at the annual employee competition seminar. She has recently co-authored an article published in the ELA bulletin on employees retaining confidential documents post-employment.

Kerenza is recognised as a leading junior for employment law in the latest edition of both independent legal directories.

Cases

Restrictive Covenants Advice
Providing advice to various employees, employers and potential employers, most recently including advising a magic circle firm on the enforceability and effect of the restrictive covenants in the contract of an intended hire and advising a CEO on the enforceability and effect of the restrictive covenants in his contract in light of an attractive offer from a competitor.

Biotech company
Acting for a biotech company focused on developing novel treatments for cancer and auto-immune diseases, to restrain its Senior Director of Business Development departing to work for a competitor in breach of restrictive covenants in his contract.
Departing director
Advising a company on potential claims against a former employee and statutory
director who had gone to work for a competitor, attempted to solicit clients and sought
to backdate his removal from the Companies House register when it was pointed out to
him that his earlier actions could amount to a breach of his statutory duties.

Recruitment consultants
Acting for a departing employee (one of four defendants) to defend urgent applications
for delivery up, provision of information and enforcement of restrictive covenants and
an application for a speedy trial to determine the employer’s claims for breach of
contract, misuse of confidential information, unlawful conspiracy and inducing breach
of contract.

Private Security Services
Acting for a private security services company in claims against its departing COO and
four implicated companies/individuals to obtain urgent injunctive relief, including
provision of information, disc imaging, delivery up, enforcement of restrictive
covenants and springboard relief.

Telecommunications company
Advising a telecommunications company on potential claims following the departure of
two employees who appeared to have been misusing confidential information, acting
for other businesses during working hours and seeking to obtain secret profits from
their employment.

Confidential information and computer forensic expert
Acting for the defendant company following a claim that one of their recent recruits
had removed and made use of the confidential information of his former employer,
including advising on the practicalities of working with a computer forensic expert
following an order for delivery up and inspection.

Square Global v Leonard
Acting for the Defendant employee in a speedy trial to determine whether the
Defendant was wrongfully dismissed and if not whether the non-compete,
non-poaching and non-solicitation covenants in the employment contract should be
enforced (junior counsel to Tom Croxford QC). The full judgment is available here.

Team Move
Acting from the Claimant insurance company in a high value team move, employee
poaching, solicitation of clients and misuse of confidential information case, which has
attracted attention in the insurance press (as junior counsel to Jane Mulcahy QC).
ICAP v Berry
[2017] EWHC 1321 (QB)
Acting for the Second Defendant in a speedy trial concerning the departure of a high ranking employee from the Claimant to one of its main competitors. The Claimant sought injunctions to enforce garden leave and confidentiality clauses (junior counsel to Paul Goulding QC and Diya Sen Gupta). Click here to read the full judgment.

Misuse of confidential information and employee poaching
Advising a family run business on its options following the departure of several employees to a competitor, which then appeared to be making use of the company's confidential information, including client lists and intellectual property.

Discrimination
Kerenza has extensive experience advising and acting for both Claimants and Respondents in discrimination claims, including those involving sex discrimination, pregnancy and maternity discrimination, discrimination on the grounds of age, sexuality, race, religion, gender reassignment and disability, equal pay claims and claims for victimisation and harassment.

Kerenza has also acted in cases involving claims of discrimination against service providers.

Kerenza was appointed to the Equality and Human Rights Commission's Panel of Counsel for 2020-2023.

Kerenza is recognised as a leading junior for employment law in the latest edition of the both independent legal directories, which identify her as “a junior of choice for tricky discrimination claims”.

Cases

Gender Reassignment Discrimination
Acting for the Respondent company to defend claims of discrimination on the grounds of gender reassignment when the claimant’s contract was terminated following customer complaints about performance and conduct.

Tesfagiorgis v Aspinals Club & Ors
Acting for the Respondents in a 14 day hearing to determine the Claimant’s claims of direct and indirect race discrimination, victimisation and harassment. 25 out of 26 of the Claimant’s claims were dismissed, with one claim of direct discrimination succeeding on the basis that a reasonable steps defence was not made out. During the hearing Kerenza cross-examined 6 witnesses and successfully dealt with several interlocutory issues, including getting some of the Claimant’s evidence excluded on the grounds of relevance and dealing with a specific disclosure application. The full jment is available here.
Equal pay/Sex Discrimination
Acting as sole counsel for the Respondent in a 15 day hearing in the Employment Tribunal to defend claims for equal pay, direct discrimination and victimisation. The Claimant relied on 15 comparators and claimed both like work and work of equal value.

Maternity Discrimination/Whistleblowing
Acting for the Respondent in a 10 day hearing to defend the Claimant's claims of maternity discrimination, whistleblowing and constructive unfair dismissal, after the Claimant walked out the office on her second day back at work. The case raised interesting questions of what constitutes a disclosure to the employer as several of the Claimant's alleged protected disclosures were purportedly made to the father of her child, who had previously held a senior role at the Respondent.

Former in-house counsel whistleblowing claim
Acting for 5 Respondents including a multi-national food company in a 4 week trial, defending claims of whistleblowing detriment/dismissal, direct sex and race discrimination, victimisation, harassment and unfair dismissal (as junior counsel to Jane Mulcahy QC). This was a substantial piece of litigation (the hearing bundle ran to 62 lever arch files) in which the Claimant relied on 30 alleged protected disclosures and 11 alleged protected acts. The case also raised the issue of the legal privilege exception in whistleblowing cases. Also acted for the Respondents in the related equal pay proceedings.

Kahn v VisitDenmark
Successfully defending claims of age discrimination and unfair dismissal at a 5 day hearing, including cross-examining four witnesses. The Claimant claimed £820,000 after being dismissed for engaging a company owned and operated by his son, without permission from his superiors. The Tribunal was persuaded to discount the Claimant's explanations as the answers elicited during cross-examination demonstrated a lack of credibility. The full judgment is available here.

Working hours at an Investment bank
Acting for the Respondent company to defend claims of breach of the Working Time Regulations and disability discrimination arising out of the working hours and culture at the bank.

Face masks in supermarkets
Advising a well known supermarket chain on the application of the Equality Act, in particular provisions relating to disability discrimination, to their policy of requiring face masks to be worn in store by customers.
Jessica Varnish v GB Cycling & UK Sport
Acting for the Second Respondent to defend claims by a former member of Great Britain’s women’s cycling team of unfair dismissal, direct discrimination, victimisation and detriment following protected disclosure. The case raised questions regarding employee status in the context of athletes performing at the highest level.

Indirect Associative Discrimination

Race discrimination claim
Acting for the Respondent, a high end fashion brand, as sole counsel in a 13 day trial defending claims of race discrimination and constructive unfair dismissal.

Blaney v BBC & Ors
Acting for the Claimant in his claims for disability discrimination, failure to make reasonable adjustments and unfair constructive dismissal, including representing him at a day long judicial mediation.

Discrimination claim against service provider
Acting for the Defendant bank in a claim for race discrimination and victimisation in relation to services provided to a customer.

Disability Discrimination & Unfair Dismissal
Advising the Claimant on her prospects of successfully appealing the decision in her disability discrimination and unfair dismissal claim to the Employment Appeal Tribunal.

Equal pay claim
Acting for a multi-national food company in 10 day trial defending an equal pay claim (junior counsel to Jane Mulcahy QC).

Caste discrimination
Acting pro bono to draft grounds in support of an application for legal aid on behalf of a victim of trafficking who had been held in conditions of domestic servitude. The applicant’s case went on to be heard in the EAT, yielding an important judgment on how caste fits into the Equality Act (Chandhok v Tirkey [2015] ICR 257).
Discrimination on grounds of sexuality
Advising the claimant in a claim against her former employers for unfair constructive dismissal and discrimination on the grounds of sexuality.

Sex Discrimination
Acting for the claimant in an unfair constructive dismissal claim on the grounds of sex discrimination.

Pregnancy & Maternity Discrimination
Current/recent cases include:

Age Discrimination
Current/recent cases include:

Race Discrimination
Current/recent cases include:

Disability Discrimination
Current/recent cases include:

Performance Related Pay & Discrimination
Advising the National Association of Head Teachers on the application of regulations governing performance related pay, discrimination on the grounds of sex and maternity and discrimination on the grounds of disability.

Whistleblowing
Kerenza has acted in numerous complex whistleblowing claims, including cases featuring interim relief applications. Kerenza has particular expertise dealing with whistleblowing in the context of in-house lawyers and the unique challenges this presents in relation to legal privilege and the exception set out in s.43B(4) of the Employment Rights Act 1996.
Cases

**Former in-house counsel whistleblowing claim**
Acting for 5 Respondents including a multi-national food company in a 4 week trial, defending claims of whistleblowing detriment/dismissal, direct sex and race discrimination, victimisation, harassment and unfair dismissal (as junior counsel to Jane Mulcahy QC). This was a substantial piece of litigation (the hearing bundle ran to 62 lever arch files) in which the Claimant relied on 30 alleged protected disclosures and 11 alleged protected acts. The case also raised the issue of the legal privilege exception in whistleblowing cases. Also acted for the Respondents in the related equal pay proceedings.

**Maternity Discrimination/Whistleblowing**
Acting for the Respondent in a 10 day hearing to defend the Claimant’s claims of maternity discrimination, whistleblowing and constructive unfair dismissal, after the Claimant walked out the office on her second day back at work. The case raised interesting questions of what constitutes a disclosure to the employer as several of the Claimant’s alleged protected disclosures were purportedly made to the father of her child, who had previously held a senior role at the Respondent.

**Whistleblower grievance**
Advising the Respondent company on the handling of a grievance brought by a member of the senior management team, which purported to include protected disclosures and made allegations of victimisation.

**Bullying & Whistleblowing**
Carrying out an investigation into a workplace grievance, raising questions of bullying and whistleblowing (as junior counsel to Gemma White).

**Interim Relief Application**
Successfully defending against an interim relief application in a whistleblowing claim (as junior counsel to Jane Mulcahy QC).

**Employment Status & Contracts**
Kerenza regularly appears in hearings dealing with the thorny question of employee/worker status and advises on the consequences of this for a range of issues including jurisdictional questions of standing to bring claims and quantification questions as to holiday pay and national minimum wage.

Clients in this area have included sporting bodies as well as some of the big names offering work through digital platforms (Uber, Deliveroo).

Kerenza is currently acting as junior counsel for Uber in the follow-on litigation arising out of the Supreme Court’s decision in Uber BV v Aslam [2021] UKSC 5, which is listed for a four-week trial in June 2022.
Cases

**Worker vs Employee status**  
Acting in a series of preliminary hearings where the claimants claim to have employee status where the employer contends they are workers.

**Food delivery app**  
Acting for the Respondent, which operates an app facilitating the delivery of food, securing strike out of the Claimant’s claims for unfair and wrongful dismissal. Judgment available here.

**Jessica Varnish v GB Cycling & UK Sport**  
Acting for the Second Respondent to defend claims by a former member of Great Britain’s women’s cycling team of unfair dismissal, direct discrimination, victimisation and detriment following protected disclosure. The case raised questions regarding employee status in the context of athletes performing at the highest level.

Professional Discipline

Kerenza has experience representing both professional regulatory bodies and individuals facing charges of misconduct/breach of their professions rules in a range of fields including medicine, higher education, accountancy, law, civil engineering and sport. She has also advised clients in non-contentious contexts about the best approach to employ in respect of professional discipline procedures.

“*She is a fantastic junior; astonishingly bright and hard-working.*”  
— LEGAL 500, 2021

Cases

**Alleged anti-doping violation**  
Advising an Olympic medallist on the best course of action following receipt of an allegation of an anti-doping violation (missed test).

**ICAEW**  
Representing the Investigation Committee of the Institute of Chartered Accountants in numerous disciplinary hearings, raising issues of misuse of client funds, unlawfully retaining confidential information, criminal convictions for fraud, improper preparation of accounts, non-compliance with Bye-laws and failure to comply with CPD requirements.

**Carmody v Institution of Civil Engineers**  
Successfully representing a member of the ICE before the Construction Industry Council Appeals Tribunal to overturn a finding that he had breached the ICE’s rules on professional conduct, resulting in the sanction that had been imposed being revoked.
Lancashire Lions
Acting for Blind Cricket England and Wales and the English Cricket Board to prosecute 8 players and a Blind Cricket club on charges of dissent towards the umpire, acts of violence, discriminatory conduct based on an individual’s race or religion and bringing cricket into disrepute. All charges were upheld.

Collateral proceedings
Advising a regulator on the best course of action when one of its members sought to stay disciplinary proceedings in light of ongoing civil litigation arising out of the same facts.

Gosport Report
Advising a professional regulatory body on the implications of the report into Gosport Memorial Hospital Trust.

Tackling Sexual Assault & Rape in Higher Education Institutions
Advising a higher education institution on the impact relevant human rights, equality and public law principles would have on its internal disciplinary procedures as applied to students accused of sexual assault and rape (as junior counsel to Monica Carrs-Frisk QC).

Solicitors’ Code of Conduct and Account Rules
Advising a solicitor facing allegations of unprofessional conduct on the Solicitors’ Regulatory Authority’s Code of Conduct and the Solicitors Account Rules.

R (Rahman & Ors) v the General Medical Council
Acting for the GMC in a judicial review of its decision not to certify two applicants as exempt persons under s.19 of the Medical Act, raising issues over the rights to free movement and equal treatment under EU law and the interpretation of the Immigration (EEA) Regulations (junior counsel to Alan Maclean QC).

Misfeasance in public office
Acting for a government body in relation to a claim of misfeasance in public office and malicious falsehood against one of its employees.

Compelling production of a file
Advising a professional body on its options in compelling a member to produce relevant documentation to it to facilitate its investigation into potential breaches of its disciplinary bye-laws and regulatory standards.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Providing information to the police</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advising a professional regulatory body on whether it was entitled or obliged to provide information it had uncovered during an internal investigation of potential criminal activity by one of its members to the police.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>R (ICAEW) v Lord Chancellor</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[2018] EWHC 1557 (Admin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acting for the Institute of Chartered Accountants to challenge the Lord Chancellor’s refusal to approve its application to become a regulator and licensing authority in respect of five reserved legal activities (junior counsel to Nathalie Lieven QC).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Braysher</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acting for the ICAEW in a one day disciplinary hearing, successfully establishing the defendant had made five serious errors in a set of accounts he had prepared.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>UKAD</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acting for the UKAD before the National Anti-Doping Panel in respect of various anti-doping violation charges, including charges of evasion of testing made against a rugby player and a veteran runner and attempted use of a prohibited substance against an athlete.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Boxing Licence</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assisting the appeal panel in preparing to hear from a boxer whose licence had been suspended.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Rugby player accused of racial abuse</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acting for a rugby club in an appeal from a citing hearing concerning an allegation of racial abuse made by one of their players against a player from another club (assisting Jane Mulcahy).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Chen</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acting for UKAD to prosecute a student athlete for attempting to take a prohibited substance after UK Borders intercepted a large package of testosterone gel packs from Mexico on its way to the defendant. Under cross examination the defendant admitted he had lied when providing his initial account to UKAD’s investigating officers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sport

Kerenza is a highly experienced sports lawyer, having worked on cases before a variety of tribunals and panels in this field. She has worked for various Premier League football clubs, Olympic athletes, an International Cricketer, a team in the English Ice Hockey Premier League, the British Board of Boxing Control and individuals facing disciplinary charges. She has also represented UKAD in prosecuting anti-doping rule violation charges.

Cases Kerenza has been instructed on in this area have covered issues both on and off the field of play, including sponsorship and other commercial disputes, professional conduct matters, employment and discrimination issues and anti-doping rules.

Cases

Alleged anti-doping violation
Advising an Olympic medallist on the best course of action following receipt of an allegation of an anti-doping violation (missed test).

Former manager vs Premiership Football club
Acting for the club in three day arbitration before the Managers Arbitration Tribunal, in a dispute over a termination payment. As junior counsel to Nick De Marco QC

Anonymity order
Advising an international sports player in relation to proceedings against their club, in which they had been named in witness statements, including in relation to the prospects of obtaining an anonymity order to protect their identity.

Athlete’s Sponsorship Agreement
Acting for a world-famous, Olympic gold medal winning athlete to enforce a Tomlin Order, relating to an underlying dispute over a sponsorship agreement.

Championship Football Club Claim
Acting for a Championship Football Club to defend a claim of unfair dismissal by the coach of the youth team following a redundancy process.

Chen
Acting for UKAD to prosecute a student athlete for attempting to take a prohibited substance after UK Borders intercepted a large package of testosterone gel packs from Mexico on its way to the defendant. Under cross examination the defendant admitted he had lied when providing his initial account to UKAD’s investigating officers.
Exclusion from sport's club
 Advising members of an unincorporated sports association on their options for redress when new management tried to exclude them from the club.

Jessica Varnish v GB Cycling & UK Sport
 Acting for the Second Respondent to defend claims by a former member of Great Britain’s women’s cycling team of unfair dismissal, direct discrimination, victimisation and detriment following protected disclosure. The case raised questions regarding employee status in the context of athletes performing at the highest level.

Lancashire Lions
 Acting for Blind Cricket England and Wales and the English Cricket Board to prosecute 8 players and a Blind Cricket club on charges of dissent towards the umpire, acts of violence, discriminatory conduct based on an individual’s race or religion and bringing cricket into disrepute. All charges were upheld.

Premiership Football Club Dispute
 Acting for a Premiership football club in claims involving unfair dismissal/redundancy and a dispute concerning confidential information (assisting Jane Mulcahy).

F1 arbitration re Guy van der Garde
 Acting for a Formula 1 racing driver in an arbitration under Swiss Intentional Arbitration Rules, successfully obtaining an award requiring the F1 team Sauber to honour its contractual obligation to nominate the driver as one of their two race drivers for the 2015 F1 Season (assisting Ian Mill QC).

UKAD
 Acting for the UKAD before the National Anti-Doping Panel in respect of various anti-doping violation charges, including charges of evasion of testing made against a rugby player and a veteran runner and attempted use of a prohibited substance against an athlete.

Rugby player accused of racial abuse
 Acting for a rugby club in an appeal from a citing hearing concerning an allegation of racial abuse made by one of their players against a player from another club (assisting Jane Mulcahy).

Ice Hockey Premier League rules
 Advising a team in the English Ice Hockey Premier League in relation to possibly discriminatory provisions of the League’s rules, age discrimination and unfair dismissal.
**Boxing Licence**
Assisting the appeal panel in preparing to hear from a boxer whose licence had been suspended.

**Civil Fraud, Asset Recovery & Injunctive Relief**

Kerenza has worked on a number of high value cases involving civil fraud, including in the context of tax. She has experience of seeking and resisting applications for injunctive relief, including freezing injunctions, Norwich Pharmacal orders, Bankers Trust orders, interim and perceptual injunctions and claims involving conspiracy, dishonest assistance, knowing assistance, breach of trust, procuring breach of contract, unjust enrichment and deceit.

Kerenza has also worked on cases and provided advice to clients seeking injunctive relief in more unusual circumstances, including assisting a F1 driver to obtain an order requiring his team to nominate him as one of their two drivers for the season and advising the target of a hostile internet campaign about avenues for legal protection.

**Cases**

**F1 arbitration re Guy van der Garde**
Acting for a Formula 1 racing driver in an arbitration under Swiss Intentional Arbitration Rules, successfully obtaining an award requiring the F1 team Sauber to honour its contractual obligation to nominate the driver as one of their two race drivers for the 2015 F1 Season (assisting Ian Mill QC).

**Kyriakou v Christies & Ors**
[2017] EWHC 487 (QB); [2017] 3 WLUK 223
Acting for the Applicant to obtain a Bankers Trust order against five Respondents, after his wife had travelled to London with 70kg of jewellery, watches and other valuables she had taken from the family home (junior counsel to Alan Maclean QC).

**Candy Ventures SARL v AAA Drivewise & Ors**
Acting for the victim of a high value fraud perpetrated by a sophisticated computer hack, obtaining a Norwich Pharmacal order, Freezing Injunctions and pursuing claims for conspiracy, dishonest assistance, knowing receipt, unjust enrichment and money had and received (junior counsel to Victoria Windle).

**Access to Property**
Acting for the Respondent property manager to resist an application for an injunction allowing the applicant access to all areas of a building, following the manager’s decision to restrict access for security reasons.
BE Fleet Street v BE Central
Successfully defending an urgent application for an interim injunction, which involved allegations of abuse of a dominant market position and raised questions about the application and scope of Access Directive (junior counsel to Alan Maclean QC).

Devonshire Appointments Limited v Rahman & Others
(QBD)
Acting for the Claimant recruitment consultants, in this fraud/recovery claim against two ex-employees, for defrauding the company of several million pounds between 2007 and 2013. Various freezing orders and disclosure orders were obtained in support of the claim (assisting Leona Powell).

Assigning Injunctions
Advising various companies about the possibility of varying or assigning the benefit of an injunction, ostensible/apparent authority, damages in relation to share transfers and potential claims arising out of misuse of intellectual property.

Search order and disc imaging
Obtaining a search order and ancillary orders on behalf of a multi-national technology company, following the discovery that the defendant had been trading in counterfeit and unauthorised products (as junior counsel to Adam Baradon).

ACHIEVEMENTS

Education
BA Hons in English First Class; LLB First Class

Prizes and Scholarships
- Recommended for the James Hunt prize for best advocate, Gray’s Inn advocacy training program, 2013.
- Richard Reeve Foundation Award, 2011.
- Winner of College of Law’s Negotiation Competition, 2011.
- The Shakespeare Memorial Award from Gray Inn, 2010.

Publications
Employee Competition Bulletins
“Profound” changes afoot for anti-suit injunctions?: Gray v Hurley
No Springboard Injunction for Breach of Confidence

Protecting/Exposing Confidential Documents

Before starting pupillage Kerenza worked for a charity representing the interests of women doctors.
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